

Appendix III Fishery Descriptions

This appendix is broken into two parts: Part A describes commercial fisheries that have documented interactions with marine mammals in the Atlantic Ocean; and Part B describes commercial fisheries that have documented interactions with marine mammals in the Gulf of Mexico. A complete list of all known fisheries for both oceanic regions, the List of Fisheries, is published in the *Federal Register* annually. Each part of this appendix contains three sections: I. data sources used to document marine mammal mortality/entanglements and commercial fishing effort trip locations, II. links to fishery descriptions for Category I, II and some category III fisheries that have documented interactions with marine mammals and their historical level of observer coverage, and III. historical fishery descriptions.

Part A. Description of U.S Atlantic Commercial Fisheries

I. Data Sources

Items 1-5 describe sources of marine mammal mortality, serious injury or entanglement data; items 6-9 describe the sources of commercial fishing effort data used to summarize different components of each fishery (i.e. active number of permit holders, total effort, temporal and spatial distribution) and generate maps depicting the location and amount of fishing effort.

1. Northeast Region Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP)

In 1989 a Fisheries Observer Program was implemented in the Northeast Region (Maine-Rhode Island) to document incidental bycatch of marine mammals in the Northeast Region Multi-species Gillnet Fishery. In 1993 sampling was expanded to observe bycatch of marine mammals in Gillnet Fisheries in the Mid-Atlantic Region (New York-North Carolina). The Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP) has since been expanded to sample multiple gear types in both the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic Regions for documenting and monitoring interactions of marine mammals, sea turtles and finfish bycatch attributed to commercial fishing operations. At sea observers onboard commercial fishing vessels collect data on fishing operations, gear and vessel characteristics, kept and discarded catch composition, bycatch of protected species, animal biology, and habitat (NMFS-NEFSC 2003).

2. Southeast Region Fishery Observer Programs

Three Fishery Observer Programs are managed by the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) that observe commercial fishery activity in U.S. Atlantic waters. The Pelagic Longline Observer Program (POP) administers a mandatory observer program for the U.S. Atlantic Large Pelagics Longline Fishery. The program has been in place since 1992 and randomly allocates observer effort by eleven geographic fishing areas proportional to total reported effort in each area and quarter. Observer coverage levels are mandated under the Highly Migratory Species Fisheries Management Plan (HMS FMP, 50 CFR Part 635). The second program is the Shark Gillnet Observer Program that observes the Southeastern U.S. Atlantic Shark Gillnet Fishery. The Observer Program is mandated under the HMS FMP, the Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan (ALWTRP) (50 CFR Part 229.32), and the Biological Opinion under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Observers are deployed on any active fishing vessel reporting shark drift gillnet effort. In 2005, this program also began to observe sink gillnet fishing for sharks along the southeastern U.S. coast. The observed fleet includes vessels with an active directed shark permit and fish with sink gillnet gear (Carlson and Bethea 2007). The third program is the Southeastern Shrimp Otter Trawl Fishery Observer Program. Prior to 2007, this was a voluntary program administered by SEFSC in cooperation with the Gulf and South Atlantic Fisheries Foundation. The program was funding and project dependent, therefore observer coverage is not necessarily randomly allocated across the fishery. In 2007, the observer program was expanded, and it became mandatory for fishing vessels to take an observer if selected. The program now includes more systematic sampling of the fleet based upon reported landings and effort patterns. The total level of observer coverage for this program is approximately 1% of the total fishery effort. In each Observer Program, the observers record information on the total target species catch, the number and type of interactions with protected species (including both marine mammals and sea turtles), and biological information on species caught.

3. Regional Marine Mammal Stranding Networks

The Northeast and Southeast Region Stranding Networks are components of the Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Program (MMHSRP). The goals of the MMHSRP are to facilitate collection and dissemination

of data, assess health trends in marine mammals, correlate health with other biological and environmental parameters, and coordinate effective responses to unusual mortality events (Becker *et al.* 1994). Since 1997, the Northeast Region Marine Mammal Stranding Network has been collecting and storing data on marine mammal strandings and entanglements that occur from Maine through Virginia. The Southeast Region Strandings Program is responsible for data collection and stranding response coordination along the Atlantic coast from North Carolina to Florida, along the U.S. Gulf of Mexico coast from Florida through Texas, and in the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. Prior to 1997, stranding and entanglement data were maintained by the New England Aquarium and the National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. Volunteer participants, acting under a letter of agreement, collect data on stranded animals that include: species; event date and location; details of the event (i.e., signs of human interaction) and determination on cause of death; animal disposition; morphology; and biological samples. Collected data are reported to the appropriate Regional Stranding Network Coordinator and are maintained in regional and national databases.

4. Marine Mammal Authorization Program

Commercial fishing vessels engaging in Category I or II fisheries are automatically registered under the Marine Mammal Authorization Program (MMAP) in order to lawfully take a non-endangered/threatened marine mammal incidental to fishing operations. These fishermen are required to carry an Authorization Certificate onboard while participating in the listed fishery, must be prepared to carry a fisheries observer if selected, and must comply with all applicable take reduction plan regulations. All vessel owners, regardless of the category of fishery they are operating in, are required to report, within 48 hours of the incident and even if an observer has recorded the take, all incidental injuries and mortalities of marine mammals that have occurred as a result of fishing operations (NMFS-OPR 2003). Events are reported by fishermen on the Marine Mammal Mortality/Injury forms then submitted to and maintained by the NMFS Office of Protected Resources. The data reported include: captain and vessel demographics; gear type and target species; date, time and location of event; type of interaction; animal species; mortality or injury code; and number of interactions. Reporting forms are available online at http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/interactions/mmap_reporting_form.pdf.

5. Other Data Sources for Protected Species Interactions/Entanglements/Ship Strikes

In addition to the above, data on fishery interactions/entanglements and vessel collisions with large cetaceans are reported from a variety of other sources including the New England Aquarium (Boston, Massachusetts); Provincetown Center for Coastal Studies (Provincetown, Massachusetts); U.S. Coast Guard; whale watch vessels; Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO)); and members of the Atlantic Large Whale Disentanglement Network. These data, photographs, etc. are maintained by the Protected Species Division at the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office (GARFO), the Protected Species Branch at the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) and the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC).

6. Northeast Region Vessel Trip Reports

The Northeast Region Vessel Trip Report Data Collection System is a mandatory, but self-reported, commercial fishing effort database (Wigley *et al.* 1998). The data collected include: species kept and discarded; gear types used; trip location; trip departure and landing dates; port; and vessel and gear characteristics. The reporting of these data is mandatory only for vessels fishing under a federal permit. Vessels fishing under a federal permit are required to report in the Vessel Trip Report even when they are fishing within state waters.

7. Southeast Region Fisheries Logbook System

The Fisheries Logbook System (FLS) is maintained at the SEFSC and manages data submitted from mandatory Fishing Vessel Logbook Programs under several FMPs. In 1986 a comprehensive logbook program was initiated for the Large Pelagics Longline Fishery and this reporting became mandatory in 1992. Logbook reporting has also been initiated since the 1990s for a number of other fisheries including: Reef Fish Fisheries; Snapper-Grouper Complex Fisheries; federally managed Shark Fisheries; and King and Spanish Mackerel Fisheries. In each case, vessel captains are required to submit information on the fishing location, the amount and type of fishing gear used, the total amount of fishing effort (e.g., gear sets) during a given trip, the total weight and composition of the catch, and the disposition of the catch during each unit of effort (e.g., kept, released alive, released dead). FLS data are used to estimate the total amount of fishing effort in the fishery and thus expand bycatch rate estimates from observer data to estimates of the total incidental take of marine mammal species in a given fishery. More information is available at <http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/fisheries/logbook.htm>.

8. Northeast Region Dealer Reported Data

The Northeast Region Dealer Database houses trip level fishery statistics on fish species landed by market category, vessel ID, permit number, port location and date of landing, and gear type utilized. The data are collected by both federally permitted seafood dealers and NMFS port agents. Data are considered to represent a census of both vessels actively fishing with a federal permit and total fish landings. It also includes vessels that fish with a state permit (excluding the state of North Carolina) that land a federally managed species. Some states submit the same trip level data to the Northeast Region, but contrary to the data submitted by federally permitted seafood dealers, the trip level data reported by individual states does not include unique vessel and permit information. Therefore, the estimated number of active permit holders reported within this appendix should be considered a minimum estimate. It is important to note that dealers were previously required to report weekly in a dealer call in system. However, in recent years the NER regional dealer reporting system has instituted a daily electronic reporting system. Although the initial reports generated from this new system did experience some initial reporting problems, these problems have been addressed and the new daily electronic reporting system is providing better real time information to managers.

9. Northeast At Sea Monitoring Program

At-sea monitors collect scientific, management, compliance, and other fisheries data onboard commercial fishing vessels through interviews of vessel captains and crew, observations of fishing operations, photographing catch, and measurements of selected portions of the catch and fishing gear. At-sea monitoring requirements are detailed under Amendment 16 to the NE Multispecies Fishery Management Plan with a planned implementation date of May 1st, 2010. At-sea monitoring coverage is an integral part of catch monitoring to ensure that Annual Catch Limits are not exceeded. At-sea monitors collect accurate information on catch composition and the data are used to estimate total discards by sectors (and common pool), gear type, and stock area. Coverage levels are expected around 30%.

II. Marine Mammal Protection Act's List of Fisheries

The List of Fisheries (LOF) classifies U.S. commercial fisheries into one of three Categories according to the level of incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals:

- I. frequent incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals
- II. occasional incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals
- III. remote likelihood of/no known incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals

The Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) mandates that each fishery be classified by the level of mortality or serious injury and mortality of marine mammals that occurs incidental to each fishery as reported in the annual Marine Mammal Stock Assessment Reports for each stock. A fishery may qualify as one Category for one marine mammal stock and another Category for a different marine mammal stock. A fishery is typically categorized on the LOF according to its highest level of classification (e.g., a fishery that qualifies for Category III for one marine mammal stock and Category II for another marine mammal stock will be listed under Category II). The fisheries listed below are linked to classification based on the most current LOF published in the *Federal Register*.

III. U.S Atlantic Commercial Fisheries

Northeast Sink Gillnet:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ne_sink_gillnet.html

Northeast Anchored Float Gillnet Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/fisheries/lof2012/northeast_anchored_float_gillnet.pdf

Northeast Drift Gillnet Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ne_dgn.html Mid-Atlantic Gillnet:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/midatl_gillnet.html

Mid-Atlantic Bottom Trawl:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ma_bottom_trawl.html Northeast Bottom Trawl:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ne_bottom_trawl.html Northeast Mid-Water Trawl Fishery (includes pair trawls):

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ne_mw_trawl.html

Mid-Atlantic Mid-Water Trawl Fishery (includes pair trawls):

<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/fisheries/lof2014/mid-atlantic-mid-water-trawl.pdf>

Bay of Fundy Herring Weir

Gulf of Maine Atlantic Herring Purse Seine Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/GME_Atlantic_herring_purse_seine.html

Northeast/Mid-Atlantic American Lobster Trap/Pot:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ne_ma_lobster_trap_pot.html

Atlantic Mixed Species Trap/Pot Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/atl_mixed_trap_pot.htmlAtlantic Ocean, Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico Large Pelagics Longline:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ao_car_gmx_pelagics_longline.html

Southeast Atlantic Gillnet:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/se_atl_gn.htmlSoutheastern U.S. Atlantic Shark Gillnet Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/se_shark_gn.html

Atlantic Blue Crab Trap/Pot:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/se_bluecrab_trap_pot.htmlMid-Atlantic Haul/Beach Seine:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ma_haul_beachseine.html

North Carolina Inshore Gillnet Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/nc_inshore_gn.htmlNorth Carolina Long Haul

Seine”

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/nc_longhaulseine.html

North Carolina Roe Mullet Stop Net:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/nc_roemullet_stopnet.htmlVirginia Pound Net:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/va_poundnet.htmlMid-Atlantic Menhaden Purse

Seine:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/ma_men_purse_seine.htmlSoutheastern U.S.

Atlantic/Gulf of Mexico Shrimp Trawl:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/segom_shrimp_trawl.html

Southeastern U.S. Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico Stone Crab Trap/Pot Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/segom_stonecrab_trap_pot.html

IV. Historical Fishery Descriptions

Atlantic Foreign Mackerel

Prior to 1977, there was no documentation of marine mammal bycatch in DWF activities off the Northeast coast of the U.S. With implementation of the Magnuson Fisheries Conservation and Management Act (MFCMA) in that year, an Observer Program was established which recorded fishery data and information on incidental bycatch of marine mammals. DWF effort in the U.S. Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) under MFCMA had been directed primarily towards Atlantic Mackerel and Squid. From 1977 through 1982, an average mean of 120 different foreign vessels per year (range 102-161) operated within the U.S. Atlantic EEZ. In 1982, there were 112 different foreign vessels; 16%, or 18, were Japanese Tuna longline vessels operating along the U.S. east coast. This was the first year that the Northeast Regional Observer Program assumed responsibility for observer coverage of the longline vessels. Between 1983 and 1991, the numbers of foreign vessels operating within the U.S. Atlantic EEZ each year were 67, 52, 62, 33, 27, 26, 14, 13, and 9 respectively. Between 1983 and 1988, the numbers of DWF vessels included 3, 5, 7, 6, 8, and 8 respectively, Japanese longline vessels. Observer coverage on DWF vessels was 25-35% during 1977-1982, and increased to 58%, 86%, 95% and 98%, respectively, in 1983-1986. One hundred percent observer coverage was maintained during 1987-1991. Foreign fishing operations for Squid ceased at the end of the 1986 fishing season and for Mackerel at the end of the 1991 season. Documented interactions with white sided dolphins were reported in this fishery.

Pelagic Drift Gillnet

In 1996 and 1997, NMFS issued management regulations which prohibited the operation of this fishery in 1997. The fishery operated during 1998. Then, in January 1999 NMFS issued a Final Rule to prohibit the use of drift net gear in the North Atlantic Swordfish Fishery (50 CFR Part 630). In 1986, NMFS established a mandatory self-reported fisheries information system for Large Pelagic Fisheries. Data files are maintained at the SEFSC. The

estimated total number of hauls in the Atlantic Pelagic Drift Gillnet Fishery increased from 714 in 1989 to 1,144 in 1990; thereafter, with the introduction of quotas, effort was severely reduced. The estimated number of hauls from 1991 to 1996 was 233, 243, 232, 197, 164, and 149 respectively. Fifty-nine different vessels participated in this fishery at one time or another between 1989 and 1993. In 1994 to 1998 there were 11, 12, 10, 0, and 11 vessels, respectively, in the fishery. Observer coverage, expressed as percent of sets observed, was 8% in 1989, 6% in 1990, 20% in 1991, 40% in 1992, 42% in 1993, 87% in 1994, 99% in 1995, 64% in 1996, no fishery in 1997, and 99% coverage during 1998. Observer coverage dropped during 1996 because some vessels were deemed too small or unsafe by the contractor that provided observer coverage to NMFS. Fishing effort was concentrated along the southern edge of Georges Bank and off Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. Examination of the species composition of the catch and locations of the fishery throughout the year suggest that the Drift Gillnet Fishery was stratified into two strata: a southern, or winter, stratum and a northern, or summer, stratum. Documented interactions with North Atlantic right whales, humpback whales, sperm whales, pilot whale spp., Mesoplodon spp., Risso's dolphins, common dolphins, striped dolphins and white sided dolphins were reported in this fishery.

Atlantic Tuna Purse Seine

The Tuna Purse Seine Fishery occurring between the Gulf of Maine and Cape Hatteras, North Carolina is directed at large medium and giant Bluefin Tuna (BFT). Spotter aircraft are typically used to locate fish schools. The official start date, set by regulation, is 15 July of each year. Individual Vessel Quotas (IVQs) and a limited access system prevent a derby fishery situation. Catch rates for large medium and giant Tuna can be high and consequently, the season can last only a few weeks, however, over the last number of years, effort expended by this sector of the BFT fishery has diminished dramatically due to the unavailability of BFT on the fishing grounds.

The regulations allocate approximately 18.6% of the U.S. BFT quota to this sector of the fishery (5 IVQs) with a tolerance limit established for large medium BFT (15% by weight of the total amount of giant BFT landed).

Limited observer data is available for the Atlantic Tuna Purse Seine Fishery. Out of 45 total trips made in 1996, 43 trips (95.6%) were observed. Forty-four sets were made on the 43 observed trips and all sets were observed. A total of 136 days were covered. No trips were observed during 1997 through 1999. Two trips (seven hauls) were observed in October 2000 in the Great South Channel Region. Four trips were observed in September 2001. No marine mammals were observed taken during these trips. Documented interactions with pilot whale spp. were reported in this fishery.

Atlantic Tuna Pelagic Pair Trawl

The Pelagic Pair Trawl Fishery operated as an experimental fishery from 1991 to 1995, with an estimated 171 hauls in 1991, 536 in 1992, 586 in 1993, 407 in 1994, and 440 in 1995. This fishery ceased operations in 1996 when NMFS rejected a petition to consider pair trawl gear as an authorized gear type in the Atlantic Tuna Fishery. The fishery operated from August to November in 1991, from June to November in 1992, from June to October in 1993 (Northridge 1996), and from mid-summer to December in 1994 and 1995. Sea sampling began in October of 1992 (Gerrior *et al.* 1994) where 48 sets (9% of the total) were sampled. In 1993, 102 hauls (17% of the total) were sampled. In 1994 and 1995, 52% (212) and 55% (238), respectively, of the sets were observed. Nineteen vessels have operated in this fishery. The fishery operated in the area between 35N to 41N and 69W to 72W. Approximately 50% of the total effort was within a one degree square at 39N, 72W, around Hudson Canyon, from 1991 to 1993. Examination of the 1991-1993 locations and species composition of the bycatch, showed little seasonal change for the six months of operation and did not warrant any seasonal or areal stratification of this fishery (Northridge 1996). During the 1994 and 1995 Experimental Pelagic Pair Trawl Fishing Seasons, fishing gear experiments were conducted to collect data on environmental parameters, gear behavior, and gear handling practices to evaluate factors affecting catch and bycatch (Goudy 1995, 1996), but the results were inconclusive. Documented interactions with pilot whale spp., Risso's dolphin and common dolphins were reported in this fishery.

Part B. Description of U.S. Gulf of Mexico Fisheries

I. Data Sources

Items 1 and 2 describe sources of marine mammal mortality, serious injury or entanglement data, and item 3 describes the source of commercial fishing effort data used to generate maps depicting the location and amount of fishing effort and the numbers of active permit holders. In general, commercial fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico have had little directed observer coverage and the level of fishing effort for most fisheries that may interact with marine mammals is either not reported or highly uncertain.

1. Southeast Region Fishery Observer Programs

Two fishery observer programs are managed by the SEFSC that observe commercial fishery activity in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. The Pelagic Longline Observer Program (POP) administers a mandatory observer program for the U.S. Atlantic Large Pelagics Longline Fishery. The program has been in place since 1992, and randomly allocates observer effort by eleven geographic fishing areas proportional to total reported effort in each area and quarter. Observer coverage levels are mandated under the Highly Migratory Species FMP (HMS FMP, 50 CFR Part 635). The second is the Southeastern Shrimp Otter Trawl Fishery Observer Program. Prior to 2007, this was a voluntary program administered by SEFSC in cooperation with the Gulf and South Atlantic Fisheries Foundation. The program was funding and project dependent, therefore observer coverage is not necessarily randomly allocated across the fishery. In 2007, the observer program was expanded, and it became mandatory for fishing vessels to take an observer if selected. The program now includes more systematic sampling of the fleet based upon reported landings and effort patterns. The total level of observer coverage for this program is ~ 1% of the total fishery effort. In each Observer Program, the observers record information on the total target species catch, the number and type of interactions with protected species (including both marine mammals and sea turtles), and biological information on species caught. In each Observer Program, the observers record information on the total target species catch, the number and type of interactions with protected species including both marine mammals and sea turtles, and biological information on species caught.

2. Regional Marine Mammal Stranding Networks

The Southeast Regional Stranding Network is a component of the Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Program (MMHSRP). The goals of the MMHSRP are to facilitate collection and dissemination of data, assess health trends in marine mammals, correlate health with other biological and environmental parameters, and coordinate effective responses to unusual mortality events (Becker *et al.* 1994). The Southeast Region Strandings Program is responsible for data collection and stranding response coordination along the U.S. Gulf of Mexico coast from Florida through Texas. Prior to 1997, stranding and entanglement data were maintained by the New England Aquarium and the National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. Volunteer participants, acting under a letter of agreement with NOAA Fisheries, collect data on stranded animals that include: species; event date and location; details of the event including evidence of human interactions; determinations of the cause of death; animal disposition; morphology; and biological samples. Collected data are reported to the appropriate Regional Stranding Network Coordinator and are maintained in regional and national databases.

3. Southeast Region Fisheries Logbook System

The FLS is maintained at the SEFSC and manages data submitted from mandatory fishing vessel logbook programs under several FMPs. In 1986, a comprehensive logbook program was initiated for the Large Pelagics Longline Fisheries, and this reporting became mandatory in 1992. Logbook reporting has also been initiated since the early 1990s for a number of other fisheries including: reef fish fisheries; snapper-grouper complex fisheries; federally managed shark fisheries; and king and Spanish mackerel fisheries. In each case, vessel captains are required to submit information on the fishing location, the amount and type of fishing gear used, the total amount of fishing effort (e.g., gear sets) during a given trip, the total weight and composition of the catch, and the disposition of the catch during each unit of effort (e.g., kept, released alive, released dead). FLS data are used to estimate the total amount of fishing effort in the fishery and thus expand bycatch rate estimates from observer data to estimates of the total incidental take of marine mammal species in a given fishery.

4. Marine Mammal Authorization Program

Commercial fishing vessels engaging in Category I or II fisheries are automatically registered under the Marine Mammal Authorization Program (MMAP) in order to lawfully take a non-endangered/threatened marine mammal incidental to fishing operations. These fishermen are required to carry an Authorization Certificate onboard while participating in the listed fishery, must be prepared to carry a fisheries observer if selected, and must comply with all applicable take reduction plan regulations.. All vessel owners, regardless of the category of fishery they are operating in, are required to report, within 48 hours of the incident even if an observer has recorded the take, all incidental injuries and mortalities of marine mammals that have occurred as a result of fishing operations (NMFS-OPR 2003). Events are reported by fishermen on the Marine Mammal Mortality/Injury forms then submitted to and maintained by the NMFS Office of Protected Resources. The data reported include: captain and vessel demographics; gear type and target species; date, time and location of event; type of interaction; animal species; mortality or injury code; and number of interactions. Reporting forms are available online at http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/interactions/mmap_reporting_form.pdf.

II. Gulf of Mexico Commercial Fisheries

Spiny Lobster Trap/Pot Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/FL_spiny_lobster_trap_pot.html

Southeastern U.S. Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico Stone Crab Trap/Pot Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/segom_stonecrab_trap_pot.html Gulf of Mexico

Menhaden Purse Seine Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/gom_men_purseseine.html Gulf of Mexico

Gillnet Fishery:

http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/interactions/fisheries/table2/gom_gn.html


Literature Cited

- Becker, P.R., D. Wilkinson and T. Lillestolen. 1994. Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Program: Program Development Plan. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-94-2. 35 pp.
- Carlson, J.K. and D.M. Bethea. 2007. Catch and bycatch in the shark gillnet fishery: 2005-2006. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-552. 26 pp.
- Gerrior, P., A.S. Williams and D.J. Christensen 1994. Observations of the 1992 U.S. pelagic pair trawl fishery in the Northwest Atlantic. *Mar. Fish. Rev.* 56(3): 24-27.
- Goudy, C.A. 1995. The 1994 experimental pair trawl fishery for tuna in the Northwest Atlantic. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Sea Grant, Cambridge, MA. MITSWG 95-6 10 pp.
- Goudy, C.A. 1996. The 1995 experimental pair trawl fishery for tuna in the Northwest Atlantic. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Sea Grant, Cambridge, MA MITSG 96-17: 13.
- NMFS-NEFSC. 2003. Fishery Sampling Branch. National Marine Fisheries Service-Northeast Fisheries Science Center, accessed on 11/05/2003, from <http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/fsb/>.
- NMFS-OPR. 2003. Marine Mammal Authorization Program. National Marine Fisheries Service-Office of Protected Resources.
http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/prot_res/PR2/Fisheries_Interactions/MMAP.html.
accessed on 11/05/2003
- Northridge, S. 1996. Estimation of cetacean mortality in the U.S. Atlantic swordfish and tuna driftnet and pair trawl fisheries. NMFS. 40ENNF500160 21 pp.
- Wigley, S., M. Terceiro, A. DeLong and K. Sosebee. 1998. Proration of 1994-96 USA Commercial Landings of Atlantic Cod, Haddock and Yellowtail Flounder to Unit Stock Areas. Northeast Fish. Sci. Cent. Ref. Doc. 98-02.

Appendix III: Fishery Descriptions - List of Figures

- Figure 1. 2010 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 2. 2011 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 3. 2012 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 4. 2013 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 5. 2014 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 6. 2010 mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 7. 2011 mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 8. 2012 mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 9. 2013 mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 10. 2014 mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 11. 2010 mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 12. 2011 mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 13. 2012 mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 14. 2013 mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 15. 2014 mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 16. 2010 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 17. 2011 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 18. 2012 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 19. 2013 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 20. 2014 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 21. 2010 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 22. 2011 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 23. 2012 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 24. 2013 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 25. 2014 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 26. 2010 mid-Atl. mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 27. 2011 mid-Atl. mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 28. 2012 mid-Atl. mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 29. 2013 mid-Atl. mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 30. 2014 mid-Atl. mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 31. 2010 Atlantic herring purse seine observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 32. 2011 Atlantic herring purse seine observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 33. 2012 Atlantic herring purse seine observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 34. 2013 Atlantic herring purse seine observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 35. 2014 Atlantic herring purse seine observed hauls (A) and incidental takes (B).
- Figure 36. 2009 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - U.S. Atlantic coast.
- Figure 37. 2011 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - U.S. Atlantic coast.
- Figure 38. 2012 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - U.S. Atlantic coast.
- Figure 39. 2013 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - U.S. Atlantic coast.
- Figure 40. 2014 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - U.S. Atlantic coast.
- Figure 41. 2010 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - Gulf of Mexico.
- Figure 42. 2011 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - Gulf of Mexico.
- Figure 43. 2012 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - Gulf of Mexico.
- Figure 44. 2013 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - Gulf of Mexico.
- Figure 45. 2014 Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the pelagic longline fishery - Gulf of Mexico.

Figure 1. 2010 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Multispecies Fisheries Management Plan year-round closures:

- Closed Area 1
 Closed Area 2
 Western Gulf of Maine Closed Area
 Nantucket Lightship Closed Area
 Cashes Ledge Closure

Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

- Offshore Closure
 Northeast Closure
 MidCoast Closure
 Mass Bay Closure
 Cape Cod South Closure
 Cashes Ledge Closure





Figure 2. 2011 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Multispecies Fisheries Management Plan year-round closures:

- Closed Area 1
 Closed Area 2
 Western Gulf of Maine Closed Area
 Nantucket Lightship Closed Area
 Cashes Ledge Closure

Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

- Offshore Closure
 Northeast Closure
 MidCoast Closure
 Mass Bay Closure
 Cape Cod South Closure
 Cashes Ledge Closure





Figure 3. 2012 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Multispecies Fisheries Management Plan year-round closures:

Closed Area 1
 Closed Area 2
 Western Gulf of Maine Closed Area
 Nantucket Lightship Closed Area
 Cashes Ledge Closure

Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

Offshore Closure
 Northeast Closure
 MidCoast Closure
 Mass Bay Closure
 Cape Cod South Closure
 Cashes Ledge Closure





Figure 4. 2013 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Multispecies Fisheries Management Plan year-round closures:

Closed Area 1
 Closed Area 2
 Western Gulf of Maine Closed Area
 Nantucket Lightship Closed Area
 Cashes Ledge Closure

Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

Offshore Closure
 Northeast Closure
 MidCoast Closure
 Mass Bay Closure
 Cape Cod South Closure
 Cashes Ledge Closure





Figure 5. 2014 Northeast sink gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Multispecies Fisheries Management Plan year-round closures:

- Closed Area 1 ■ Closed Area 2 □ Western Gulf of Maine Closed Area □ Nantucket Lightship Closed Area □ Cashes Ledge Closure

Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

- Offshore Closure □ Northeast Closure □ MidCoast Closure □ Mass Bay Closure □ Cape Cod South Closure □ Cashes Ledge Closure





Figure 6. 2010 Mid-Atlantic gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

Southern mid-Atlantic waters
 New Jersey Mudhole
 waters off New Jersey





Figure 7. 2011 Mid-Atlantic gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

Southern mid-Atlantic waters
 New Jersey Mudhole
 waters off New Jersey





Figure 8. 2012 Mid-Atlantic gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

Southern mid-Atlantic waters
 New Jersey Mudhole
 waters off New Jersey





Figure 9. 2013 Mid-Atlantic gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

Southern mid-Atlantic waters
 New Jersey Mudhole
 waters off New Jersey





Figure 10. 2014 Mid-Atlantic gillnet observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).



Harbor porpoise Take Reduction Plan management areas:

- Southern mid-Atlantic waters
- New Jersey Mudhole
- waters off New Jersey




Figure 11. 2010 Mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 12. 2011 Mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 13. 2012 Mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 14. 2013 Mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).






Figure 15. 2014 Mid-Atlantic bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 16. 2010 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).






Figure 17. 2011 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 18. 2012 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).






Figure 19. 2013 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 20. 2014 Northeast bottom trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 21. 2010 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 22. 2011 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 23. 2012 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 24. 2013 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 25. 2014 Northeast mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 26. 2010 Mid-Atlantic mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 27. 2011 Mid-Atlantic mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 28. 2012 Mid-Atlantic mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 29. 2013 Mid-Atlantic mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 30. 2014 Mid-Atlantic mid-water trawl observed tows (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 31. 2010 Herring Purse Seine observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 32. 2011 Herring Purse Seine observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 33. 2012 Herring Purse Seine observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 34. 2013 Herring Purse Seine observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).





Figure 35. 2014 Herring Purse Seine observed hauls (A) and observed takes (B).




Figure 36. Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the Pelagic longline fishery along the U.S. Atlantic coast during 2010. The boundaries of the Florida East Coast (FEC), South Atlantic Bight (SAB), Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB), Northeast Coastal (NEC), and Sargasso Sea (SAR) fishing areas are shown. Seasonal closed areas instituted in 2001 under the HMS FMP are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 37. Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the Pelagic longline fishery along the U.S. Atlantic coast during 2011. The boundaries of the Florida East Coast (FEC), South Atlantic Bight (SAB), Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB), Northeast Coastal (NEC), and Sargasso Sea (SAR) fishing areas are shown. Seasonal closed areas instituted in 2001 under the HMS FMP are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 38. Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the Pelagic longline fishery along the U.S. Atlantic coast during 2012. The boundaries of the Florida East Coast (FEC), South Atlantic Bight (SAB), Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB), Northeast Coastal (NEC), and Sargasso Sea (SAR) fishing areas are shown. Seasonal closed areas instituted in 2001 under the HMS FMP are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 39. Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the Pelagic longline fishery along the U.S. Atlantic coast during 2013. The boundaries of the Florida East Coast (FEC), South Atlantic Bight (SAB), Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB), Northeast Coastal (NEC), and Sargasso Sea (SAR) fishing areas are shown. Seasonal closed areas instituted in 2001 under the HMS FMP are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 40. Observed sets and marine mammal interactions in the Pelagic longline fishery along the U.S. Atlantic coast during 2014. The boundaries of the Florida East Coast (FEC), South Atlantic Bight (SAB), Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB), Northeast Coastal (NEC), and Sargasso Sea (SAR) fishing areas are shown. Seasonal closed areas instituted in 2001 under the HMS FMP are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 41. Observed sets in the Pelagic longline fishery in the Gulf of Mexico during 2010. Closed areas in the DeSoto canyon instituted in 2010 are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 42. Observed sets in the Pelagic longline fishery in the Gulf of Mexico during 2011. Closed areas in the DeSoto canyon instituted in 2001 are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 43. Observed sets in the Pelagic longline fishery in the Gulf of Mexico during 2012. Closed areas in the DeSoto canyon instituted in 2001 are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 44. Observed sets in the Pelagic longline fishery in the Gulf of Mexico during 2013. Closed areas in the DeSoto canyon instituted in 2001 are shown as hatched areas.




Figure 45. Observed sets in the Pelagic longline fishery in the Gulf of Mexico during 2014. Closed areas in the DeSoto canyon instituted in 2001 are shown as hatched areas.

